IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

TUESDAY, THE 23RD OCTOBER 2007 / 1ST KARTHIKA 1929

Cont.Case(C).No.1404 of 2007(S)

ARISING FROM THE JUDGEMENT IN M.F.A.NO.66/2002 DATED 17/08/2005

.....

PETITIONER/1ST RESPONDENT IN M.F.A.:-

R. SASIDHARAN NAIR,
AGED 58 YEARS,
S/O. RAMAN NAIR,
'PRASANTH',
MANJANIKKARA MURI,
OMALLOOR VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE VARGHESE (MANACHIRACKEL)

RESPONDENT/APPELLANT IN M.F.A.:-

MR.T.P. SENKUMAR
(AGE NOT KNOWN, FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
TRIVANDURM.

BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.K.PRABHAKARAN.

THIS CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 23/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:-

H.L.Dattu,C.J. & K.T.Sankaran,J.

Cont.Case (C).No.1404 of 2007-S

Dated, this the 23rd day of October, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.Dattu,C.J.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that the respondent has substantially complied with the orders and directions issued by this Court while disposing of M.F.A.No.66 of 2002 dated 17.08.2005.

(2) We do not doubt the correctness of the statement made by the learned counsel, who is an officer of this Court. In that view of the matter, we do not intend to take cognizance of this contempt petition. Accordingly, the proceedings requires to be dropped and it is dropped.

(3) However, liberty is reserved to the complainant/petitioner to approach the executing Court, if he is of the opinion that some more amounts are due from the respondent.

Ordered accordingly.

H.L.Dattu
Chief Justice

K.T.Sankaran
Judge

vku/DK.